Wimmerella, a New South African Genus of Lobelioideae (Campanulaceae)

Luis Serra and Manuel B. Crespo

Departamento de Ciencias Ambientales y Recursos Naturales (Botánica), Universidad de Alicante, Apartado 99, E-03080 Alicante, Spain. crespo@carn.ua.es

Thomas G. Lammers

Department of Botany, Field Museum of Natural History, Chicago, Illinois 60605-2496, U.S.A. Current address: Department of Biology and Microbiology, University of Wisconsin Oshkosh, Oshkosh, Wisconsin 54901, U.S.A. lammers@uwosh.edu

ABSTRACT. The 10 South African species currently assigned to Laurentia (an otherwise Mediterranean genus) are segregated here as the genus Wimmerella on the basis of their basal (vs. medial) bracteoles; larger flowers and fruits; and subglobose (vs. ellipsoid) seeds lacking a strophiole, which are sulcate with flattened (vs. keeled) walls.

Laurentia Adanson, as circumscribed by Wimmer (1953, 1968), was a genus of oddly discontinuous distribution. Its 27 species were restricted to either the Mediterranean region (3 spp.), South Africa (10 spp.), Australia (10 spp.), western North America (3 spp.), or the West Indies (1 sp., spread in historic times throughout much of the tropics). Phytogeographic coherence of the infrageneric taxa recognized in Wimmer's treatment was no better. The Mediterranean and South African species and two of the species from North America were assigned to section Laurentia; the remaining North American endemic to section Palmerella (A. Gray) E. Wimmer; and the Australian and West Indian species to section Isotoma (R. Brown) Endlicher.

rentia. The present paper executes the final dismemberment of Laurentia, by segregating the species of these two regions into separate genera.

Evidence supporting the generic distinctness of the Mediterranean and South African species was provided in detail by Serra and Crespo (1997) and Crespo et al. (1998). These authors placed particular emphasis on differences in seed morphology: seeds of the South African species are subglobose, lack a strophiole, and are sulcate with flattened walls; those of the Mediterranean species are ellipsoid, strophiolate, and sulcate with keeled walls. The extreme value of seed features in Lobelioideae was first stressed by McVaugh (1936, 1940b) and recently expanded upon by Murata (1992, 1995). The two groups of species were also distinguished by bracteole position (basal in South Africa, medial in the Mediterranean) and by the larger flowers and fruits of the former. Furthermore, in the Mediterranean species, the plants are erect and the flowers solitary in an axillary position (appearing terminal in rosulate species). In contrast, the South African species have decumbent stems with solitary axillary flowers; or if the stems are erect, then the flowers are borne in a 2-15-flowered terminal raceme. As these differences are consonant with differences used to distinguish genera in the subfamily, Serra and Crespo (1997) and Crespo et al. (1998) recognized the Mediterranean and South African species as two distinct genera. But what names should these genera bear? Meikle (1979) published a brief note contending that the name Laurentia was a superfluous renaming of Lobelia. In its place, he adopted the name Solenopsis C. Presl. Recently, however, Lammers (1997) presented evidence that Laurentia was not illegitimate, and formally proposed that the name be conserved to ensure stability. The type of Laurentia is

However, this very broadly construed Laurentia was something of an innovation with Wimmer. Many of the species had been assigned to smaller genera by earlier authors, and that is the classification preferred by most current workers (e.g., McVaugh, 1940a, 1943; Mason, 1957; Melville, 1960; McComb, 1970; Elliot & Jones, 1990; Chapman, 1991; Skog, 1991; Lammers, 1993; Morin, 1993; Kartesz, 1994). Here, the Australian species comprise Isotoma (R. Brown) Lindley, the sole West Indian species is segregated as Hippobroma G. Don, and the North American species are assigned to Porterella Torrey and Palmerella A. Gray. As noted by Lammers (1997), this leaves only the Mediterranean and South African species in Lau-

Novon 9: 414–418. 1999.

Volume 9, Number 3 1999

Serra et al. Wimmerella from South Africa

415

Lobelia laurentia L. [\equiv Solenopsis laurentia (L.) C. Presl, = Laurentia gasparrinii (Tineo) Strobl], while the lectotype of Solenopsis (designated by Pfeiffer, 1874: 1192) is Lobelia minuta L. [= Solenopsis minuta (L.) C. Presl, \equiv Laurentia minuta (L.) A. DC.]. Both species belong to the Mediterranean genus, which will thus be called either Laurentia or Solenopsis, depending on the outcome of Lammers's (1997) proposal.

original species were from South Africa, but are not referable to Laurentia sensu Wimmer (1953, 1968). Enchysia repens (Thunberg) C. Presl (based on Lobelia repens Thunberg) is a synonym of Lobelia anceps L. f. (Thulin, 1983), while Enchysia erinoides (L.) C. Presl (based on Lobelia erinoides L.) is a synonym of Lobelia erinus L. (Thulin et al., 1986). Because it was this last species, L. erinoides, that was designated as the lectotype of Enchysia by Pfeiffer (1874: 1199), that generic name is properly a synonym of Lobelia L. and cannot be used for the South African species formerly assigned to Laurentia. Even if Pfeiffer's choice were to be overturned, there is no way to lectotypify this name that would permit its use for South African Laurentia; if it is not a synonym of Lobelia, it will be a synonym of Isotoma. A thorough survey of all generic names referable to Lobelioideae shows that none can be typified on the basis of one of the South African species of Laurentia. Therefore, a new genus is erected to accommodate these species. The key below may be used to distinguish the new genus from its allies.

For the South African genus, Serra and Crespo (1997) and Crespo et al. (1998) took up the name Enchysia C. Presl, which had been cited as a synonym of Laurentia by Wimmer (1953). When published by Presl (1836: 40), Enchysia included six species. One of these, E. scapigera (R. Brown) C. Presl, is now treated (Elliot & Jones, 1990; Chapman, 1991) as a member of the Australian genus Isotoma [i.e., I. scapigera (R. Brown) G. Don], while three others (E. baueri C. Presl, E. gaudichaudii C. Presl, and E. lessonii C. Presl) are all synonyms of the related I. fluviatilis (R. Brown) F. Mueller ex Bentham (McComb, 1970). The last two of the six

KEY TO THE GENERA INCLUDED IN LAURENTIA S.L.

- 1a. Corolla scarcely zygomorphic; filament tube fully adnate to the corolla.
 - 2a. Calyx lobes 2-10 mm long; corolla 8-30 mm long; filament tube 3-20 mm long; anther tube black, the
- 2b. Calyx lobes 10-22 mm long; corolla 60-150 mm long; filament tube 55-145 mm long; anther tube white, 1b. Corolla distinctly bilabiate, the dorsal lip 2-lobed, the ventral 3-lobed; filament tube free from corolla, or only the two dorsal filaments adnate.
 - 3a. Corolla 20-30 mm long; filament tube 9.5-17 mm long, the two dorsal filaments adnate to the corolla
 - 3b. Corolla 3-20 mm long; filament tube 1.5-7 mm long, free from corolla.
 - 4a. Stems fleshy; calyx lobes 3-8(-11) mm long; capsules 5-10(-16) mm long; seeds ca. 1 mm long
 - 4b. Stems herbaceous; calyx lobes 1–4 mm long; capsules 1–6 mm long; seeds 0.3–0.5 mm long.
 - 5a. Stems erect, the flowers solitary and axillary or terminal; pedicels with 1-3 bracteoles near the middle; filament tube 1.5-2.5 mm long; capsules 1-3 mm long; seeds ellipsoid, strophiolate, sulcate with keeled walls (Mediterranean region) Solenopsis (= Laurentia, nom. cons. prop.) 5b. Stems decumbent, the flowers solitary and axillary, or if erect, the flowers 2-15 in a terminal raceme; pedicels bibracteolate at base; filaments 2-6 mm long; capsules 2.5-6 mm long; seeds subglobose, lacking a strophiole, sulcate with flattened walls (South Africa) Wimmerella

Wimmerella L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, gen. nov. TYPE: Wimmerella secunda (L. f.) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers.

A Solenopsis (= Laurentia s. str.) bracteolis basalibus. floribus capsulisque majoribus, atque seminibus subglobosis strophiolo destitutis testa sulcata cum parietibus applanatis differt.

Annual or perennial plants up to 30(-40) cm high. Stems decumbent or erect, simple or branched, glabrous or pubescent. Leaves cauline, alternate, in some species only rosulate, sessile or petiolate; lamina orbicular to linear, entire or with

5-9 teeth or lobes, 3-40 mm long, 2-12 mm wide. Flowers solitary in leaf axils or (in plants with erect stems) 2–15 in terminal racemes up to 25 cm long; bracts linear to lanceolate, 5-30 mm long, 1-3 mm wide; pedicels (2-)5-20 mm long in fruit. Calyx lobes oblong, lanceolate or linear-lanceolate, entire, 1-3 mm long, glabrous or pubescent. Corolla white or blue (sometimes with a white patch in the throat), 3-18 mm long, bilabiate with 2 linear dorsal lobes and 3 oblong ventral lobes or nearly regular with 5 subequal linear-oblong lobes, glabrous; tube funnel-shaped or tubular. Staminal column free from

the corolla; filaments 2-6 mm long; anther tube 0.8-1 mm long, light black, glabrous or short pubescent distally, bisetose at the apex of the ventral pair. Ovary inferior, bilocular; placentation axile. Capsule apically dehiscing via two valves, 2.5-6 mm long, the calyx persistent. Seeds subglobose, lacking a strophiole, light brown, smooth and lustrous, 0.4-0.5 mm long, sulcate with flattened walls.

by Wimmer (1968) and recognized by Welman (1993) were not validly published. Wimmer failed to designate a type as defined by Article 8.1 of the Code (Greuter et al., 1994), an oversight perhaps attributable to the fact that his manuscript was not published until seven years after his death. Two duplicates of one gathering were cited for each name without singling one out as the holotype, thus violating Article 37.3. These two species are here named by designating a holotype and providing an explicit reference to Wimmer's (1968) effectively published Latin diagnosis, as allowed by Article 32.4. [See note added in proof.] *Etymology.* The genus is named for Franz Elfried Wimmer (1881-1961), the Austrian botanist and Roman Catholic priest who was the foremost twentieth century student of the Lobelioideae (Rechinger, 1961). The honorific is rendered as a diminuitive to avoid homonymy with Wimmeria Schlechtendal (Celastraceae) and to parallel the related genera Palmerella and Porterella.

Wimmerella is endemic to South Africa. Populations occupy diverse habitats from the coastal regions to the central plateaus, at elevations from sea level up to 1800 m. After study of over 100 specimens (see Acknowledgments for herbaria), it was decided to follow the treatment of Wimmer (1953, 1968) and Welman (1993), in which 10 species are recognized. All are confined to the Cape Province with the exception of W. arabidea, which also occurs in Natal (Welman, 1993).

The names of two species originally described

KEY TO THE SPECIES OF WIMMERELIA

1a. Leaves suborbicular or cordate-reniform.

- 2a. Stems erect or decumbent, glabrous; pedicels 2-7 mm long; corolla 3 mm long W. frontidentata 2b. Stems decumbent or prostrate, pubescent; pedicels 8–18 mm long; corolla 3–8 mm long.
 - 3a. Leaves very sparsely pubescent with trichomes ca. 0.4 mm long, the margin 5-9-toothed (rarely
 - 3b. Leaves densely pubescent with trichomes ca. 0.2 mm long, the margin 5–9-lobed. 4a. Pedicels 8-11 mm long, pubescent throughout; corolla 3-4 mm long W. hederacea 4b. Pedicels 12-18 mm long, glabrous or pubescent only at the base; corolla 6-8 mm long

1b. Leaves lanceolate, linear-lanceolate, lanceolate-spatulate, or oblong-spatulate.

- 5a. Leaves pubescent (rarely glabrous); bracts oblong or linear, longer than the pedicel; corolla white, 4-7
- 5b. Leaves glabrous; bracts linear, up to half the length of the pedicel; corolla blue, sometimes with a white patch in the throat, 6–18 mm long.

 - 6b. Corolla 6–12 mm long.
 - 7a. Leaves oblong-spatulate, incised-dentate; corolla 11-12 mm long W. giftbergensis
 - 7b. Leaves linear-lanceolate or lanceolate-spatulate, entire or denticulate; corolla 6-10 mm long.
 - 8a. Leaves linear-lanceolate; corolla 6 mm long; capsule 2.5 mm long W. mariae
 - 8b. Leaves lanceolate-spatulate; corolla (6–)7–10 mm long; capsule 4 mm long.
 - 9a. Leaves 5–10 mm long; inflorescence 2–5-flowered W. bifida

Wimmerella arabidea (C. Presl) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, comb. nov. Basionym: Rapuntium arabideum C. Presl, Prodr. Monogr. Lobel. 18. 1836. Laurentia arabidea (C. Presl) A. DC., in DC., Prodr. 7: 410. 1839. Lobelia arabidea (C. Presl) Steudel, Nomencl. Bot. (ed. 2) 2: 59. 1841. TYPE: South Africa. Cape Province: "Cap B. Sp. in parte inferiore occidentali," Anonymous s.n. (lectotype, designated by Wimmer (1968: 853), PR not seen).

Wimmerella bifida (Thunberg) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, comb. nov. Basionym: Lobelia bifida Thunberg, Prodr. Fl. Cap. 40. 1794. Rapuntium bifidum (Thunberg) C. Presl, Prodr. Monogr. Lobel. 30: 1836. Laurentia bifida (Thunberg) Sonder, in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Cap. 3: 552. 1865. TYPE: South Africa. Cape Province: "Am Rande des Berges Bockland," Thunberg s.n. (lectotype, designated by Wimmer (1953: 394), UPS not seen).

Volume 9, Number 3 1999

Serra et al. Wimmerella from South Africa

417

Wimmerella frontidentata L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, sp. nov. TYPE: South Africa. Cape Province: Swellendam Div., Anysberg, sheltered S side rocks, 1600 m, 21 May 1950, *Esterhuysen 17070* (holotype, PRE; isotype, BOL). [Validated by reference to the effectively published Latin description of "Laurentia frontidentata" E. Wimmer, Pflanzenr. IV.276c: 855. 1968, nom. invalid.]

Wimmerella pygmaea (Thunberg) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, comb. nov. Basionym: Lobelia pygmaea Thunberg, Prodr. Fl. Cap. 40. 1794. Rapuntium pygmaeum (Thunberg) C. Presl, Prodr. Monogr. Lobel. 22. 1836. Laurentia pygmaea (Thunberg) Sonder, in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Cap. 3: 553. 1865. TYPE: South Africa. Cape Province: "Kapland, östliches Gebiet, in Querspalten des Berges Ribeck-Kastel," Thunberg s.n. (lectotype, designated by Wimmer (1953: 397), S not seen).

Wimmerella giftbergensis (E. Phillips) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, comb. nov. Basionym: Lobelia giftbergensis E. Phillips, Ann. S. African Mus. 9: 121. 1913. Laurentia giftbergensis (E. Phillips) E. Wimmer, Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 38: 77. 1935. TYPE: South Africa. Cape Province: Van Rhynsdorp Div., Giftberg Range, 1000–2000 ft., Sep. 1911, Phillips 7599 (holotype, K).

Wimmerella hederacea (Sonder) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, comb. nov. Basionym: Laurentia hederacea Sonder, in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Cap. 3: 553. 1865. TYPE: South Africa. Cape Province: "C. B. S., Hab. Eastern frontier," Hutton s.n. (holotype, K). Wimmerella secunda (L. f.) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, comb. nov. Basionym: Lobelia secunda L. f., Suppl. Pl. 395. 1782. Enchysia secunda (L. f.) Sonder, in Harvey & Sonder, Fl. Cap. 3: 551. 1865. Laurentia secunda (L. f.) Kuntze, Revis. Gen. Pl. 3(2): 188. 1898. TYPE: South Africa. Cape Province: "Cap," Herb. Linn. 1051.17 (lectotype, designated by Crespo et al. (1996: 119), LINN).

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to the staffs of BM, BOL, K, LINN, and PRE for their kind assistance. This study was partly supported by grants to Serra from Bancaja Foundation (Spain) and to Crespo from the Spanish government's Ministerio de Educación y Ciencia (PR 95/011).

Wimmerella hedyotidea (Schlechter) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, comb. nov. Basionym: Laurentia hedyotidea Schlechter, Bot. Jahrb. Syst. 27: 197. 1899. TYPE: South Africa. Cape Province: in regioni austro-occidentali, in saxosis montium pone Bainskloof, in ditione Ceres, alt. ca. 4000 ped., 11 Nov. 1896, Schlechter 9104 (lectotype, designated by Wimmer (1953: 396), B not seen; isolectotype, K).

Wimmerella longitubus (E. Wimmer) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, comb. nov. Basionym: Laurentia longitubus E. Wimmer, Repert. Spec. Nov. Regni Veg. 22: 193. 1926. TYPE: South Africa. Cape Province: Cape of Good

Literature Cited

Chapman, A. D. 1991. Australian Plant Name Index D– J. Australian Government Publishing Service, Canberra.
Crespo, M. B., L. Serra & A. Juan. 1998. Solenopsis (Lobeliaceae): A genus endemic in the Mediterranean Region. Pl. Syst. Evol. 210: 211–229.

- _____, ____ & N. Turland. 1996. Lectotypification of four names in *Lobelia* (Lobeliaceae). Taxon 45: 117–120.
- Elliot, W. R. & D. L. Jones. 1990. Encyclopedia of Australian Plants Suitable for Cultivation, Vol. 5. Lothian, Melbourne.
- Greuter, W., F. R. Barrie, H. M. Burdet, W. G. Chaloner,
 V. Demoulin, D. L. Hawksworth, P. M. Jørgensen, D. H.
 Nicolson, P. C. Silva, P. Trehane & J. McNeill. 1994.
 International Code of Botanical Nomenclature (Tokyo

Hope, in humidis Langebergen prope Riversdale, *Schlechter 1902* (holotype, WU not seen).

- Wimmerella mariae L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, sp. nov. TYPE: South Africa. Cape Province: Worcester Div., plateau between Matroosberg and Sonklip Peak, in dry mud on floor of small pans, ca. 1800 m, 17 Jan. 1959, *Esterhuysen 28132* (holotype, BOL; isotype, W not seen). [Validated by reference to the effectively published Latin description of "Laurentia mariae" E. Wimmer, Pflanzenr. IV.276c: 854. 1968, nom. invalid.]
- Code). Regnum Veg. 131.
- Kartesz, J. T. 1994. A Synonymized Checklist of the Vascular Flora of the United States, Canada, and Greenland, 2nd ed., Vol. 1. Timber Press, Portland, Oregon.
 Lammers, T. G. 1993. Chromosome numbers of Campanulaceae. III. Review and integration of data for subfamily Lobelioideae. Amer. J. Bot. 80: 660–675.
- Mason, H. L. 1957. A Flora of the Marshes of California. Univ. California Press, Berkeley.
- McComb, J. A. 1970. A revision of the species *Isotoma fluviatilis*. Contr. New South Wales Natl. Herb. 4: 106–111.

McVaugh, R. 1936. Studies in the taxonomy and distri-

bution of the eastern North American species of Lobelia. Rhodora 38: 241-263, 276-298, 305-329, 346-362.

- -------. 1940a. A revision of "Laurentia" and allied genera in North America. Bull. Torrey Bot. Club 67: 778-798.
- ———. 1940b. A key to the North American species of Lobelia (sect. Hemipogon). Amer. Midland Naturalist 24:681-702.
- ———. 1943. Campanulaceae (Lobelioideae). N. Amer. Fl. 32A: 1–134.
- Meikle, R. D. 1979. Some notes on Laurentia Adanson (Campanulaceae). Kew Bull. 34: 373-375. Melville, R. 1960. Contributions to the flora of Australia: VI. The pollination mechanism of Isotoma axillaris Lindl. and the generic status of Isotoma Lindl. Kew Bull. 14: 277–279.

the subtribe Siphocampylinae (Lobeliaceae). Lagascalia 19: 881-888.

- Skog, L. 1991. Lobeliaceae. Pp. 129-131 in D. H. Nicolson, Flora of Dominica, Part 2: Dicotyledonae. Smithsonian Contr. Bot. 77: 1-274.
- Thulin, M. 1983. Lobeliaceae. Pp. 116-157 in E. Launert (editor), Flora Zambesiaca, Vol. 7(1). Managing Committee, London.

------, P. B. Phillipson & D. O. Wijnands. 1986. Typification of Lobelia erinus L. and Lobelia erinoides L. Taxon 35: 725–729.

- Morin, N. 1993. Porterella. P. 468 in J. C. Hickman (editor), The Jepson Manual: Higher Plants of California. Univ. California Press, Berkeley.
- Murata, J. 1992. Systematic implication of seed coat morphology in Lobelia (Campanulaceae-Lobelioideae). J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. 3, Bot. 15: 155-172.
- Lobelia (Campanulaceae-Lobelioideae) with special reference to seed coat morphology. J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sect. 3, Bot. 15: 349-371.
- Pfeiffer, L. K. G. 1874. Nomenclator Botanicus, Vol. 2. Theodore Fischer, Kassel.
- Presl, C. B. 1836. Prodromus Monographiae Lobeliacearum. Theophilus Haase, Prague.
- Rechinger, K. H. 1961. Franz Elfried Wimmer 1881-

- Welman, W. G. 1993. Lobeliaceae. Pp. 693-698 in T. H. Arnold & B. C. De Wet (editors.), Plants of Southern Africa: Names and Distribution. National Botanical Institute, Pretoria.
- Wimmer, F. E. 1953. Campanulaceae-Lobelioideae II. Teil. Pp. i-viii, 261-814 in H. Stubbe & K. I. Noack (editors), Das Pflanzenreich IV.276b. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin.
- ——. 1968. Campanulaceae-Lobelioideae supplementum et Campanulaceae-Cyphioideae. Pp. i-x, 815-1024 in H. Stubbe (editor), Das Pflanzenreich IV.276c. Akademie-Verlag, Berlin.

Note added in proof. Because Article 37.3 was amended by adoption of Proposition 80 (Barrie, Taxon 47: 881-889. 1998) at the August 1999 International Botanical Congress, the names Laurentia frontidentata E. Wimmer and L. mariae E. Wimmer are validly published. They are the basionyms of the new combinations Wimmerella frontidentata (E. Wimmer) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers and W. mariae (E. Wimmer) L. Serra, M. B. Crespo & Lammers, respectively.

1961. Taxon 10: 239-240.

Serra, L. & M. B. Crespo. 1997. An outline revision of